

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

AARON C. GASPARICH,	:	
	:	
Petitioner,	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 17-4432
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
COMMONWEALTH OF	:	
PENNSYLVANIA, THE ATTORNEY	:	
GENERAL OF THE STATE OF	:	
PENNSYLVANIA, and THE DISTRICT	:	
ATTORNEY OF LANCASTER COUNTY,	:	
	:	
Respondents.	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 12th day of March, 2018, the court having considered the petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254 filed by the *pro se* petitioner, Aaron C. Gasparich, (Doc. No. 1-1), the respondents' response to the petition (Doc. No. 6), the state court record, and United States Magistrate Judge Carol Sandra Moore Wells's report and recommendation (Doc. No 7); accordingly it is hereby **ORDERED** as follows:

1. The clerk of court is **DIRECTED** to remove this action from civil suspense and **RETURN** it to the court's active docket;¹
2. The Honorable Carol Sandra Moore Wells's report and recommendation (Doc. No. 7) is **APPROVED** and **ADOPTED**;
3. The petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DENIED**;

¹ Since neither party filed objections to Judge Wells's report and recommendation, the court need not review the report before adopting it. *Henderson v. Carlson*, 812 F.2d 874, 878 (3d Cir. 1987). Nonetheless, "the better practice is for the district judge to afford some level of review to dispositive legal issues raised by the report." *Id.* As such, the court will review the report for plain error. *See Oldrati v. Apfel*, 33 F. Supp. 2d 397, 399 (E.D. Pa. 1998) ("In the absence of a timely objection, . . . this Court will review [the magistrate judge's] Report and Recommendation for clear error." (internal quotation marks omitted)). The court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The court has reviewed Judge Wells's report for plain error and has found none.

4. The petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right and is therefore not entitled to a certificate of appealability, 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); and

5. The clerk of court shall mark this case as **CLOSED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Edward G. Smith
EDWARD G. SMITH, J.